

Remarks

Claims 1 to 5, 7 to 12 and 14 are pending in the application and stand rejected. In view of the foregoing amendments to the claims and the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the application.

References [3], [4], [6], [7] and [8]

An Information Disclosure Statement listing the references requested by the Examiner, along with copies of the references is included with this response.

Inquiry under 35 USC §102(f)

In response to the Examiner's inquiry regarding whether Dr. Huang is a co-inventor, applicant attaches a signed statement of Dr. Huang, explaining his role in the publication and expressly disclaiming inventorship.

Claim Rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph

All of the claims stand rejected as being incomplete for omitting essential steps. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection, but has amended claim 1 to recite that the die is completely shrunk as a result of heating. The step of placing the die in the vacuum has been moved for clarity. The remaining claims depend from claim 1 and are therefore allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 1. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that this rejection be withdrawn.

No new matter has been added by this amendment. Favorable consideration and allowance of this application are requested.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant requests withdrawal of the rejection of the claims and allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

By: /James E. Beyer/

James E. Beyer

Registration No. 39,564

Fifth Third Center
One South Main Street, Suite 1300
Dayton, Ohio 45402
Tel: (937) 449-6400
Fax: (937) 449-6405

December 30, 2009
MZ/kew
(94340-2)